

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION

GARY N. CALLIES,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Case No. 4:07CV1061 HEA
	)	
UNKNOWN HALONZO, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**ORDER**

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel, [Doc. No. 3]. The Court notes that there is no constitutional or statutory right to the appointment of counsel in a civil case. *Phillips v. Jasper County Jail* 437 F.3d 791, 794 -795 (8th Cir. 2006). When an indigent prisoner has pleaded a nonfrivolous cause of action, a court "may" appoint counsel. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) (emphasis added). *Id.* The relevant criteria for determining whether counsel should be appointed include the factual complexity of the issues, the ability of the indigent person to investigate the facts, the existence of conflicting testimony, the ability of the indigent person to present the claims, and the complexity of the legal arguments. *Edgington v. Missouri Dep't of Corr.*, 52 F.3d 777, 780 (8th Cir.1995), *abrogated on other grounds*, *Doe v. Cassel*, 403 F.3d 986, 989 (8th

Cir.2005). In considering a motion to appoint counsel for an indigent plaintiff, the court should “determine whether the nature of the litigation is such that plaintiff as well as the court will benefit from the assistance of counsel.” *Nelson v. Redfield Lithograph Printing*, 728 F.2d 1003, 1005 (8th Cir. 1984).

Plaintiff is seeking damages for alleged violations of his Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Complaint sets forth the facts upon which these claims are based and Plaintiff has set forth the legal grounds upon which he claims his rights have been violated. This case does not appear to be so complex that Plaintiff is unable to pursue this action without the assistance of counsel. Having considered the factual complexity of the case, the basis upon which Plaintiff’s claims rest, the ability of Plaintiff to present his claim, and the complexity of the legal issues involved in this case, *see Johnson v. Williams*, 788 F.2d 1319, 1323 (8th Cir. 1986), the Court concludes that appointment of counsel is not warranted at this time.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s Motion for Appointment of Counsel [Doc. No. 3] is denied without prejudice.

Dated this 22nd day of June, 2007.



---

HENRY EDWARD AUTREY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE